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Smelly Code

Smelly code, smelly code
How are they treating you?

Smelly code, smelly code
It’s not your fault.
The term
„A code smell is a surface indication that usually corresponds to a deeper problem in the system.“

-Martin Fowler
Why should you care?

- **Communication**
  Speak a common language

- **Better code**
  Clean code principles and design patterns

- **Testability**
  Testable code is maintainable code!
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Abstract—Low software evolvability may increase costs of software development for over 30%. In practice, human evaluations and discovery of software evolvability defects the actions taken to improve the software evolvability, but the human side has often been ignored in prior research. This dissertation synopsis proposes a new group of code smells called the solution approach, which is based on a study of 563 evolvability issues found in industrial and student code reviews. Solution approach issues require re-thinking of the existing implementation rather than just reorganizing the code through refactoring. This work also contributes to the body of knowledge about software quality assurance practices by confirming that 75% of defects found in code reviews affect software evolvability rather than functionality. We also found evidence indicating that context-specific demographics, i.e., role in organization and code ownership, affect evolvability, but general demographics, i.e., work experience and education, do not.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Software evolvability is the process of developing the initial version of software and the further development of that initial version to reflect the growing and changing needs of various stakeholders. It has been long recognized that almost all large and successful software systems and products need continuous evolvement. Brooks [1] stated that “The product over which one has labored so long appears to be obsolete upon (or before) completion. Already colleagues and competitors are in a hot pursuit of new and better ideas.”

This study is about software evolvability, a quality attribute that reflects how easy software is to understand, modify, adapt, correct, and develop further. Empirical studies [2-4] have found that the added effort due to lack of evolvability varies between 25-50%. Although software evolvability has been studied extensively, the human evaluation of software evolvability has received considerably less attention. In addition, the types of evolvability issues found in-vivo have been mostly ignored while the focus is on evolvability criteria proposed by experts, e.g., design principles [5] and code smells [6].

This doctoral dissertation synopsis presents empirical research on code-level evolvability issues, i.e., code smells, and human evaluations of them. This work involves two research areas. First, it looks at types of software evolvability issues found in industrial and student settings. Furthermore, a classification was created based on the empirically discovered evolvability issues and the code smells presented in the literature. Second, this is a study of human evaluations of software evolvability using student experiments and industrial surveys. This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 positions the work and outlines the main concepts in the research space. Section 3 presents the research questions and methods. Next, answers to research questions are presented in Section 4. Finally, Section 5 provides the conclusions and outlines directions for further work.

II. DISSERTATION RESEARCH SPACE

Figure 1 illustrates the topics covered in the literature review of the thesis overview [7] and shows how our research questions link to the relevant topics (research questions are presented in Section 3). Software evolvability can be operationalized with software evolvability criteria, which have been largely created based on expert opinions rather than empirical research of software systems. Furthermore, software evolvability issues, which are a subset of software evolvability criteria, have been studied less than the design principles, which are also a subset of software evolvability criteria. Thus, the dissertation first focuses on increasing understanding about the human-identified evolvability issues through empirical studies. We believe that this work can lead to improved software evolvability criteria, which can then increase the benefits of applying these criteria. The only study that the author is aware of that focused on evolvability issues detected in-vivo by humans was [8] that studied the types of evolvability issues identified in code reviews. Even that study did not contain a detailed analysis of the evolvability issues found.

The second research area of this study, human evaluations of software evolvability, was chosen because human evaluation plays a key role in software evolvability improvement. For example, if an individual does not recognize or consider a certain evolvability issue to be a problem, then that individual is not likely to remove this problematic issue from the software. Therefore, differences in human evaluations can lead to differences in evolvable. Furthermore, this area has not been properly investigated. For example, little knowledge was available for assessing the reliability of the human evaluations.
# Classification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bloaters</th>
<th>OOP Abusers</th>
<th>Change Preventers</th>
<th>Dispensables</th>
<th>Couplers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Long Method</td>
<td>Switch Statements</td>
<td>Divergent Change</td>
<td>Lazy Class</td>
<td>Feature Envy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large Class</td>
<td>Temporary Field</td>
<td>Shotgun Surgery</td>
<td>Data Class</td>
<td>Inappropriate Intimacy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primitive Obsession</td>
<td>Refused Bequest</td>
<td>Parallel Inheritance Hierarchy</td>
<td>Duplicate Code</td>
<td>Message Chains</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Parameter List</td>
<td>Alternative classes with different Interfaces</td>
<td>Speculative Generality</td>
<td>Dead Code</td>
<td>Middleman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Clumps</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Bloaters
Too large to handle.
public class WebShop {
    private final List<Customer> customers = new ArrayList<>();

    public String saveCustomer(final Customer customer) {
        customers.add(customer);
        return String.format("We have a new customer called %", customer.name());
    }
}
public class ShoppingCart {
    public void addProduct(final Product product) {} 
    public void removeProduct(final Product product) {} 
    public void checkOut() {} 
    public void enterVoucherCode() {} 
    public void contactSupport() {} 
}
record Customer(
    String name,
    int age,
    String street,
    String city,
    int zipCode,
    String country
) {
}
public class CadTool {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        int result =
            CadTool.calculateResult(13, false, true, -1, null);
    }

    public static int calculateResult(final int baseValue,
                                       final boolean isMetric,
                                       final boolean is2D,
                                       final int offset,
                                       final Integer height) {
        return 0; // some calculation
    }
}
record Customer(
    String lastName,
    String firstName,
    String middleName,
    String salutation,
    String streetAddress,
    String city,
    String state,
    String country,
    boolean isEmployed,
    boolean isHomeOwner
)
OOP Abusers

Missing object-oriented design possibilities.
public class Vehicles {
    public int numberOfWheels(final String vehicle) throws Exception {
        return switch (vehicle) {
            case "car" -> 4;
            case "boat" -> 0;
            case "bike" -> 2;
            case "bicycle" -> 2;
            default -> throw new Exception("Unknown");
        };
    }
}
public class Rectangle {
    private float sideA;
    private float sideB;

    public void setSideA(float sideA) {
        this.sideA = sideA;
    }

    public void setSideB(float sideB) {
        this.sideB = sideB;
    }

    public double getAreaSize() {
        return sideA * sideB;
    }
}
public class Animals {

    interface Animal { void speak(); }

    static class Dog implements Animal {
        @Override
        public void speak() {
            System.out.println("Woof");
        }
    }

    static class Fish implements Animal {
        @Override
        public void speak() {
        }
    }
}
public class Shapes {
    record Circle(float radius) {
        public double getAreaSize() {
            return radius * radius * Math.PI;
        }
    }

    record Rectangle(float a, float b) {
        public double getSurfaceSize() {
            return a * b;
        }
    }
}
Change Preventers

Hindering further development.
public class AnimalInformation {
    public static String getLatinName(final String animal) {
        if (animal.equalsIgnoreCase("horse"))
            return "Equus caballus";
        return "";
    }

    public static int getNumberOfLegs(final String animal) {
        if (animal.equalsIgnoreCase("horse"))
            return 4;
        return -1;
    }
}

Changes across methods

Change Preventers Divergent Change
public class Classification {
    public static String getLatinName(final String animal) {
        if (animal.equalsIgnoreCase("horse"))
            return "Equus caballus";
        return "";
    }
}

public class BodyParts {
    public static int getNumberOfLegs(final String animal) {
        if (animal.equalsIgnoreCase("horse"))
            return 4;
        return -1;
    }
}
public class Birds {
    private static class Bird {}
    private static class Egg {}

    private static class Sparrow extends Bird {
        private SparrowEgg layEgg() {
            return new SparrowEgg();
        }
    }

    private static class SparrowEgg extends Egg {
    }
}
Dispensables
Unnecessary things that can be removed.
public class WebShopCheckOut {
    public static void checkOut(final ShoppingCart cart) {
        // Some implementation
    }
}

record ShoppingCart(Product... products) {
}

record Product(String id) {
public class DataClass {
    record Rectangle(int sideA, int sideB) {
    }

    public static void main(String[] args) {
        Rectangle rectangle = new Rectangle(5, 20);
        int rectangleArea = rectangle.sideA * rectangle.sideB;
    }
}

Class holding data but not its own logic
public class Customers {
    private List<Customer> customers;

    public void addCustomer(Customer customer) {
        customers.add(customer);
        customers.forEach(System.out::println);
    }

    public void removeCustomer(Customer customer) {
        customers.remove(customer);
        customers.forEach(System.out::println);
    }
}
public class DeadCode {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        System.out.println("Pi = " + Math.PI);
    }

    private double getPi() {
        return 3.141592;
        System.out.println("Returning Pi");
    }
}

Dispensables Dead Code

Code that cannot be reached
public class LaserPrinter implements Printer {
    @Override
    public void print(final String textToPrint) {
        // Implementation
    }
    
    @Override
    public void turnOnOrOff() {
        // Implementation
    }
}

interface Printer {
    void print(String textToPrint);
    void turnOnOrOff();
}
Couplers

Too much or too little coupling.
public class UsersAndAddresses {
    record User(Address address) {
        public String getAddressString() {
            return address.street() + "", "
            + address.city() + "", "
            + address.country();
        }
    }

    record Address(String street, String city, String country) {
    }
}
public class Book {
    private final String title;
    private Author author;

    public Book(String title) { this.title = title; }
    public void setAuthor(Author author) { this.author = author; }
}

public class Author {
    private final String name;
    private Book book;

    public Author(String name) { this.name = name; }
    public void setBook(Book book) { this.book = book; }
}
public class Storehouse {
    public static void main(String[] args) {

        List<Product> products = List.of(
            new Product("Cheese", "Tasty cheese"));
        List<Shelf> shelves = List.of(new Shelf(products));

        String description =
            shelves.get(0).products().get(0).description();
    }

    record Shelf(List<Product> products) {
    }
    record Product(String name, String description) {
    }
}
public record Customer(String name, Address address) {
    public String getCity() {
        return address.city();
    }
    public String getStreet() {
        return address.street();
    }
}

record Address(String street, String city) { }
## Classification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bloaters</th>
<th>OOP Abusers</th>
<th>Change Preventers</th>
<th>Dispensables</th>
<th>Couplers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Long Method</td>
<td>Switch Statements</td>
<td>Divergent Change</td>
<td>Lazy Class</td>
<td>Feature Envy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large Class</td>
<td>Temporary Field</td>
<td>Shotgun Surgery</td>
<td>Data Class</td>
<td>Inappropriate Intimacy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primitive Obsession</td>
<td>Refused Bequest</td>
<td>Parallel Inheritance</td>
<td>Duplicate Code</td>
<td>Message Chains</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Parameter List</td>
<td>Alternative classes</td>
<td>Hierarchy</td>
<td>Dead Code</td>
<td>Middleman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Clumps</td>
<td>with different Interfaces</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speculative Generality</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Bloaters**: Long Method, Large Class, Primitive Obsession, Long Parameter List, Data Clumps
- **OOP Abusers**: Switch Statements, Temporary Field, Refused Bequest, Alternative classes with different Interfaces
- **Change Preventers**: Divergent Change, Shotgun Surgery, Parallel Inheritance, Hierarchy
- **Dispensables**: Lazy Class, Data Class, Duplicate Code, Dead Code, Speculative Generality
- **Couplers**: Feature Envy, Inappropriate Intimacy, Message Chains, Middleman
Example Code

github.com/bischoffdev/code-smells
When to tackle code smells?
Broken window

Long-term effects of unfixed code.
YAGNI

Wasting time with future requirements.
Campground Rule

Later never comes...
Code Reviews

Point out smells before it is too late!
Smelly Code

Smelly code, smelly code
How are they treating you?

Smelly code, smelly code
It’s not your fault.
Thank you!